

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Mes

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,825	11/13/2003	Ichitaro Kubota	D-1560	7865	
32628 KANESAKA I	7590 01/09/2008 BERNER AND PARTN		EXAMINER		
1700 DIAGONAL RD			GRANT II, JEROME		
SUITE 310 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314-2848		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			01/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-		
	10/705,825	KUBOTA, ICHITA	ARO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerome Grant II	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN (36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal ma		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 2 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-5 and 7-11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
_	ar.				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage		
AMachine et (a)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/705,825 Art Unit: 2625

Detailed Action

1.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araki in view of Tesavis.

With respect to claim 1, Araki teaches an original feeding apparatus (see figure 1) for feeding an original, comprising: a sheet feeding tray 2b for stacking the original, feeding means (3a) disposed adjacent to the sheet feeding tray for feeding the original stacked o the sheet feeding tray one at a time, a transport roller (3b/3c) disposed adjacent to the feeding means for receiving the original from the feeding means at a nipping position (see para. 60, lines 1-4) thereof and for transporting the original along an outer surface thereof, drive means (motor- not shown, see para. 51 and bottom of para 62); for rotating the roller; and control means (not shown but see para. 49, bottom of para. 62a and the top of para. 65) for controlling the drive means and the transport roller.

What Araki fails to show is detecting the position of the transport roller at a nip position.

Application/Control Number:

10/705,825 Art Unit: 2625

Teavis teaches an embedded controller 15 that detects documents in the roller and that detects a position of the nip roller as it contacts a document (which is the predetermined position of contact). See col. 2, lines 42-49.

Since Araki and Teavis are both directed toward controlling the flow of paper from a hopper (stack), the purpose of detecting the position of the nip with respect to a roller is clearly taught by Teavis and would have been contemplated by Araki.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the controller (not shown by Araki) with the controller taught by Teavis in order to detect the position of the roller as it nips the document for conveyance.

With respect to claim 2, Teavis teaches a roller 13. But the roller does not possess a position member as claimed.

However, it is well known to the skilled artisan that the controller 15 is informed of the radius of the rollers 13 and 14. Based on the rpms, it would not need a positioning member because the angular displacement could be determined by the rollers given the radius and the angular velocity of the roller.

10/705,825

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore, to one of ordinary skill in the art, a positioning member as claimed provides no advantage over the known prior art of measuring angular displacement, when the radius and angular velocity of the roller is determined.

With respect to claim 6, Araki in view of Teavis teaches all of the subject matter upon which the claim depends except for the specific teaching at rotating the transport roller at different speeds at different operations.

The examiner submits that It would have been obvious to rotate speeds of either feed or nip rollers in order to synchronize the conveyance of a document between the rollers.

The motivation for the rejection of Araki and Teavis is the same as that to claim 1.

2.

Claims Objected to As Containing Allowable Matter

Claims 3-5 and 7-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number:

10/705,825 Art Unit: 2625

3.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Grant II whose telephone number is 571-272-7463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles, can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).